

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,022	09/12/2003		Huang Shin-Pin	8295-000002	6813
	7590	12/10/2004		EXAM	INER
RAYMOND 108 N.YNEZ		MAHONEY, CH	RISTOPHER E		
SUITE 128	TIVETIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MONTEREY	PARK, CA	91754		2851	

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/661,022	SHIN-PIN, HUANG				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	'	Christopher E Mahoney	2851				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 N</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>B</i>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) <u>25-30 and 36-46</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>25-30 and 36-46</u> is/are rejected.						
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 25-30 and 36-39 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Tervo et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 20040207719). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 27-28, 30, 37, 39, 43, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 27-28, 30, 37, 39, 43, and 46 contain the trademark/trade name Bluetooth. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the

Art Unit: 2851

goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe wireless transmission technology and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 25-30 and 36-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tervo et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 20040207719). Tervo teaches a projection device comprising a video camera providing a camera signal 108 a computer (106 or paragraph [0009], lines 8-9) which is electrically connected to said video camera to process said camera signal from said video camera by a video conferencing software (paragraphs [0009], [0034]) to form a computer signal, a wireless transmitter (part of a transceiver 320) transmitting the computer signal by wireless transmission technology and a wireless receiver (part of another transceiver 320) for receiving the computer signal, transforming it into a projection signal and a projector (paragraph [0070], line 10) to project the image based in the signal. The communication network may be the internet [paragraph 80] or an intranet [paragraph 80], Bluetooth technology [paragraphs 33, 43,

Art Unit: 2851

44]. The applicant is directed to review the figures as well as paragraphs [0009, 0013, 0015, 0030, 0034, 0044-0046, 0060-0061, and 0070].

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25-26, 29, 36, 40-42, and 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taguchi (U.S. Pub. No. 20040150725) in view of Nasserbakht (U.S. Patent No. 5,658,063). Taguchi teaches a video camera (13) providing a camera signal which is electrically connected to a computer to process said camera signal from said video camera by a video conferencing software (paragraph [0005]) to form a computer signal, and a display 11. Taguchi does not teach wireless communication to a projector. Nasserbakht teaches in figure 7 that it was known to connect a wireless receiver 89 to a video projection system. The applicant is directed to also review col. 5, lines 30-41. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the features taught by Nasserbakht for the purpose of eliminating the monitor for increased portability. The computer communicates via a communications network (paragraph [0120]).

Art Unit: 2851

Claims 27-28, 30, 37-39, 43 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taguchi (U.S. Pub. No. 20040150725) in view of Nasserbakht (U.S. Patent No. 5,658,063), as applied to claims, 25-26, 29, 36, 40-42, and 44-45 above, and further in view of Wacker (U.S. Patent No. 6,497,442). Taguchi in view of Nasserbakht teaches the salient features of the claimed invention except for RF, IR or Bluetooth as the means for wireless communication. Wacker teaches in col. 6, lines 3-18 that it was known to utilize at least IR and Bluetooth technology. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the features taught by Wacker for the purpose of utilizing readily available industry standard/compliant technology.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2851

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher E Mahoney whose telephone number is (571) 272-2122. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5PM, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher E Mahoney Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851